DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Railroad Administration

[Safety Advisory 2021–01]

Positive Train Control Interface Design Issue With Locomotive and Cab Braking Systems

AGENCY: Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of Safety Advisory.

SUMMARY: FRA is issuing Safety Advisory 2021–01 to make the rail industry, including railroads and railroad employees, aware of a recently identified interface design issue relating to how positive train control (PTC) systems in use throughout the United States interface with locomotive and cab car braking systems. This recently identified interface design issue allows a train crewmember to circumvent a PTC enforcement by manually cutting out the pilot valve/brake stand, commonly known as the cut-out valve, prior to the PTC system initiating the brakes. This interface design issue poses a significant safety risk by allowing a PTC system to be disabled and unable to initiate the brakes to prevent a train-to-train collision, overspeed derailment, incursion into an established work zone, or the movement of a train through a switch left in the wrong position. As shown by the incidents described above, rail operations face a safety risk due to the interface design issue that allows PTC enforcement to be circumvented by cutting out the pilot valve/brake stand. Such risks must be addressed to provide for the safety of train operations, and thus FRA recommends that railroads do the following:

1. Immediately remind railroad crewmembers that, along with the unauthorized disabling of a PTC system, circumventing PTC enforcement by manually cutting out the pilot valve/brake stand when not authorized is a revocable event for the locomotive engineer or conductor responsible, and
subjects any other crewmember responsible to individual liability proceedings, including disqualification and/or civil penalties. See 49 CFR 240.117(e)(5), 240.305(a)(5), and 242.403(b) and (e)(5).

(2) Immediately conduct a complete audit of the PTC onboard design of all locomotives and cab cars equipped with PTC to determine how the onboard PTC equipment is integrated into each railroad’s locomotive and cab car’s braking system, to ascertain what percentage of the locomotive and cab car fleet is subject to the interface design issue described above;

(3) Within ten (10) days of the publication of this Safety Advisory, provide FRA, via the SIR site, with a report of the number and type of locomotives and cab cars that have this interface design issue;

(4) Upon completion of item (2) above, determine the mitigating measures and/or corrective actions necessary to address the safety risk presented by the design issue, and provide FRA, via the SIR site, with a report documenting the planned measures and/or actions, including a schedule for completion; and

(5) Immediately commence implementation of the planned measures and/or actions to address the safety risk presented by the design issue per the documented schedule, and provide FRA, via the SIR site, confirmation of completion.

Issued in Washington, DC.

John Karl Alexy,
Associate Administrator for Railroad Safety, Chief Safety Officer.

[FR Doc. 2021–18997 Filed 9–1–21; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA–2019–0132; Notice 2]

Hankook Tire America Corporation, Grant of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Grant of petition.

SUMMARY: Hankook Tire America Corporation (Hankook) has determined that certain Hankook Ventus V2 Concept 2 tires manufactured by Hankook’s indirect subsidiary, Hankook Tire Manufacturing Tennesse, LP, do not fully comply with Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 139, New Pneumatic Radial Tires for Light Vehicles. Hankook filed a noncompliance report dated November 19, 2019, and subsequently petitioned NHTSA on December 5, 2019, for a decision that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety. This notice announces and explains the grant of Hankook’s petition.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Overview: Hankook has determined that certain Hankook Ventus V2 Concept 2 tires, do not fully comply with paragraph S5.5.1(b) of FMVSS No. 139, New Pneumatic Radial Tires for Light Vehicles (49 CFR 571.139).

Hankook filed a noncompliance report dated November 19, 2019, pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, Defect and Noncompliance Responsibility and Reports, and subsequently petitioned NHTSA on December 5, 2019, for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. chapter 301 on the basis that this noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h) and 49 CFR part 556, Exemption for Inconsequential Defect or Noncompliance.

Notice of receipt of Hankook’s petition was published with a 30-day public comment period, on April 17, 2020, in the Federal Register (85 FR 21504). No comments were received. To view the petition and all supporting documents log onto the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) website at https://www.regulations.gov/, and then follow the online search instructions to locate docket number “NHTSA–2019–0132.”

II. Tires Involved: Approximately 467 Hankook Ventus V2 Concept 2 tires, size 235/45R17V XL H457, manufactured between October 7, 2019, and October 12, 2019, are potentially involved.

III. Noncompliance: Hankook explains that the noncompliance is due to a mold error in which the subject tires, were marked with the date-code in the Tire Identification Number (TIN) inverted and; therefore, they do not meet the requirements of paragraph S5.5.1(b) of FMVSS No. 139. Specifically, the date code was printed upside down.

IV. Requirements: Paragraph S5.5.1(b) of FMVSS No. 139 includes the requirements relevant to the petition. Each tire must be labeled with the TIN required by 49 CFR part 574.5 on the intended outboard sidewall of the tire. Except for retreaded tires, if a tire does not have an intended outboard sidewall, the tire must be labeled with the TIN required by 49 CFR part 574.5 on one sidewall and with either the TIN or a partial TIN, containing all characters in the TIN except for the date code and, at the discretion of the manufacturer, any optional code, on the other sidewall. Each tire must be marked on each sidewall with the TIN required by 49 CFR part 574.5 as listed in the documents and publications specified in paragraph (b) TIN content requirement.

V. Summary of Hankook’s Petition: Hankook describes the subject noncompliance and contends that the noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety. In support of its petition, Hankook offers the following reasoning:

1. The purpose of the labeling requirements in Part 574 is to “facilitate notification to purchasers of defective or nonconforming tires.” See Part 574.2. The date code portion of the TIN is required so that purchasers can identify the week and year of the tire’s manufacture in the event the tire is subject to a safety recall.

2. The date-code characters reflect the correct week and year of the tires’ manufacture, but the date code is technically out of compliance because the characters are inverted. Despite the inversion, the date code meets the character height requirements of Part 574 and is readily identifiable, permitting tire owners to easily determine the week and year of manufacture.

3. NHTSA has previously granted a petition for inconsequential noncompliance for a similar issue. In granting a petition from Cooper Tire & Rubber Company, 81 FR 43708 (July 5, 2016), the Agency explained:

The Agency believes that in the case of a tire labeling noncompliance, one measure of its inconsequentiality to motor vehicle safety is whether the mislabeling would affect the manufacturer’s or consumer’s ability to identify the mislabeled tires properly, should the tire be recalled for performance-related noncompliance. In this case, the nature of the labeling error does not prevent the correct identification of the affected tires. 49 CFR 574.5 requires the date code portion of the tire identification number to be placed in the last or correct position. In Cooper, it is in the right-most position, however, the manufacture date code is upside down.